High Precision half-life measurement of ²¹Na

R.S. Behling, B. Fenker, J.C. Hardy, V.E. Iacob, M. Mehlman, D. Melconian, H.I. Park, P.D. Shidling, and B.T. Roeder

A review of all T=1/2 mirror β decays [1] indicates that ²¹Na is one of the best candidates from this group for testing the standard model. The total uncertainty in the *ft* value of ²¹Na was dominated by the half-life. So, half-life measurements were carried out recently by two different groups [2,3] unfortunately with inconsistent results. We have performed a precise half-life measurement for ²¹Na aimed at resolving this discrepancy.

²¹Na was produced via the $p(^{22}$ Ne, $2n)^{21}$ Na reaction in inverse kinematic at a primary beam energy of 25 MeV/u. The Momentum Achromat Recoil Spectrometer (MARS) was used to produce a secondary beam of ²¹Na with a purity of 99.9%. Fig. 1a shows typical two-dimensional plot of energyloss vs position as obtained with the 16-strip position-sensitive silicon detector (PSSD) in the MARS focal plane. The secondary beam exited the vacuum system through a Kapton foil and then passed through a thin plastic scintillator, a series of Al degraders and eventually implanted in the center of an Aluminized Mylar tape. In repeated cycles, the fast-tape transport system quickly transported the sample to a well shielded location, placing it in the center of a 4π proportional gas counter where β activity was recorded for about 20 half-lives. The total data set was divided into 21 runs with different settings of the experimental parameters: bias voltage, discriminator threshold and dominant dead-times. Each cycle was dead-time corrected and the cycles from a given run were summed and fit using the Levenberg-Marquardt χ^2 minimization algorithm. The fit function consisted of one exponential corresponding to the decay of ²¹Na plus a constant background. The decay curve observed with the summed fit overlayed is shown in Fig. 1b.



FIG. 1. (a) On the left, two dimensional plot of energy-loss versus position in the PSSD at the MARS focal plane. (b) On the right, typical dead-time corrected summed decay curve obtained from a single run with residuals. The reduced chi-square of the fit is 1.10.

Our final result for the ²¹Na half-life is $t_{1/2} = 22.4615 \pm 0.0039$ (stat) ± 0.00015 (syst) s [4]. Our result strongly disfavors the half-life measured by Grinyer et al. [2] but does not fully agree with Finlay et

al. [3] either. It should be noted that the uncertainty in our measurement is dominated by statistics, whereas the total uncertainty in the two recent measurements [2,3] is dominated by systematics. In any case, the new world average of the ²¹Na half-life is increased by 0.0048 s and has an uncetainty reduced by a factor of 1.5. The new *ft* value is now limited in precision by the 95.235(69)% ground state branching ratio. More important still, the uncertainty in V_{ud} is dominated by the ±1% uncertainty associated with the value of ρ , which derives from a correlation measurement [5].

- [1] O. Naviliat-Cuncic and N. Severijns, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 142302 (2009).
- [2] J. Grinyer et al., Phys. Rev. C 91, 032501(R) (2015).
- [3] P. Finlay et al., Phys. Rev. C 96, 025501 (2017).
- [4] P.D. Shidling et al., Phys. Rev. C (submitted).
- [5] P.A. Vetter et. al., Phys. Rev. C 77, 035502 (2008).